Grammatical relations in Chintang*

Balthasar Bickel University of Leipzig

1 Case

- Default predicate class: NOM on $\{S, A_{[+SAP]}, O, T\}$, ERG on $\{A_{[-SAP]}\}$, LOC on $\{G\}$:
 - (1) a. mechacha khad-e. woman[-NOM] [3sS-]go-PST 'The woman went away.'
 - b. menuwa-ŋa sencak sed-e.
 cat-ERG rat[-NOM] [3sA-]kill-PST[-3sP]
 'The cat killed a (specific) rat.'
 - c. $akka(*-\eta a)$ sencak sed-u-he. 1s[-NOM](*-ERG) cat[-NOM] kill-3sP-1sA.PST 'I killed a (specific) rat.'
 - d. *abo hungo=na hana-ŋa=yaŋ abo a-ŋis-u-ŋs-e.* (suntala-talk.61) now DEM=TOP 2s-ERG=ADD now 2sA-know-3sP-PRF-PST 'Now as for that, even you knew it before.'
 - e. *je-lam=na hana=yaŋ a-nis-o-ko?* (CLLDCh2R14S03.1065) जे-MED=TOP 2s[-NOM]=ADD 2sA-know-3sP-NPST 'Do you also know it (the alphabet) from 'je' onwards?'
 - f. akka musa-ko u-chau=ŋa Hiranne
 1s[-NOM] mouse-GEN 3sPOSS-child=EMPH[-NOM] H.
 musa-be=lo basa-ŋa=na u-paŋs-e-hẽ.
 mouse-LOC=PTCL DEM.PROX-ABL=TOP 3nsA-send-PST-1sP.PST

^{*}This research was made possible by help from my fellow team members in the Chintang and Puma Documentation Project, and I am particularly grateful to Rikhi Maya Rai, Janak Kumari Rai, Lash Kumari Rai, Durga Kumari Rai, Ganesh Rai, and Daya Bahadur Rai for helping me with their native speaker intuitions. The research was financed by Volkswagen Foundation Grant Nos. BI 799/1-2 and II/81 961 (DOBES program).

[mouse-story.145] 'They sent me, a mouse child, to Hiranya Mouse, just like this!'

- Deranked experiencer verbs: GEN/POSS on {S,A} (experiencer), NOM on {O} (stimulus); or NOM on {S,A} (experiencer), ERG on {O} (stimulus or empty):
 - (2) a. pache sencakna u-kipma kad-e=pho. (story.cat.167) later mouse=TOP 3sPOSS-fear [3sS-]come.up-PST=REP 'Later on the rat was afraid.'
 - b. *mikha=yaŋ u-kipma=yaŋ katt-o-ko.* (story.cat.091) small=ADD 3sPOSS-fear=ADD [3sA-]bring.up-3sP-NPST 'He is small and he is also afraid of him.'
 - (3) (marci-ŋa) (hana) na-haŋs-e elo? (CLLDCh1R13S04.143) (chilly-ERG) (2s[-NOM]) 3>2-have.a.hot.taste-PST or 'Is it hot for you?' (तिमीलाई पिरो भयो कि?)
- Nominative experiencer verbs: NOM on {S,A,O}:
 - (4) kanchi (hana) haknuwa a-si-e elo? (CLLDCh3R13S03.068) youngest.one.FEM (2s[-NOM]) sweat 2sS/A-feel-PST or 'Kanchi, you feel hot, don't you?' (कान्छी, गर्मी भयो कि?)
- Primary object ditransitives: NOM on $\{S,O,G,A_{[+SAP]}\}$, INSTR on $\{T\}$ (ca. 20% of ditransitives), ERG on $\{A_{[-SAP]}\}$
 - (5) a. *a-ma-ŋa* hana munjei-ŋa na-bhukt-e.

 1sPOSS-mother-ERG 2s[-NOM] shawl-INS 3>2-cover-PST

 'Mother covered you with a shawl.'
 - b. athomba gol-ŋa rame or-o-ŋs-e. (CLLDCh1R13S02.1242) before ball-INS R.[-NOM] throw.at-3sP-PERF-PST 'He has hit Rame with a ball before.'
- Double-object ditransitives: NOM on $\{S,O,T,G,A_{[+SAP]}\}$, ERG on $\{A_{[-SAP]}\}$ (ca. 10% of ditransitives)
 - (6) a. huĩsa-ŋa phe?wa u-kam hakt-e.

 DEMs-ERG money[-NOM] 3sPOSS-friend[-NOM] [3sA-]send.to-PST[-3sP]

 'He sent his friend money.'
 - b. huĩsa-ŋa hana chatta na-bopt-e.

 DEMs-ERG 2s[-NOM] umbrella[-NOM] 3>2-cover-PST

 'She covered you with an umbrella.'

2 Agreement

- To keep things simple, I only summarize the sets that trigger agreement, ignoring alignments specific to individual morphemes (on which see Bickel 2008).
- Default predicate class: 'S-Agreement' with {S} vs. 'A-agreement' with {A} vs. 'P-Agreement' with {O,T}
- Deranked experiencer verbs: A-agreement with {O} (stimulus), P-agreement with {A} (experiencer); no agreement with intransitive experiencer (third person singular default forms, cf. 2a)
 - (7) mikha=yaŋ u-kipma=yaŋ katt-o-ko. (story.cat.091) small=ADD 3sPOSS-fear=ADD [3sA-]bring.up-3sP-NPST 'He is small and he is also afraid of him.'

Belhare and Limbu (but as far as I know, not Chintang) also allow A-agreement with {A} (experiencer), P-agreement with {O} (Bickel 2004b)

- (8) a. na ma?i a-kipma kai?-t-u-ŋ. (Belhare)

 DEM man[-NOM] 1sPOSS-fear bring.up-NPST-3sP-1sA

 'I am afraid of this man.'
 - b. an-dzum-in sa:rik a-luŋma hipt-u-ŋ.

 1sKIN.POSS-friend-ART[-NOM] very 1sPOSS-liver[-NOM] yearn-3sP-1sA
 (Limbu)

 'I miss my friend very much.'
- Nominative experiencer verbs: S-agreement with {A} (experiencer) in Chintang, but with {O} (stimulus) in Belhare (difference caused by different etymologies of the experiential verb); no transitive forms
 - (9) a. kanchi, (hana) haknuwa a-si-e elo? (Chintang, cf. 4) youngest.one.FEM (2s[-NOM]) sweat 2sS-feel-PST or 'Kanchi, you feel hot, don't you?' (कान्छी, (तिमिलाई) गर्मी भयो कि?)
 - b. ŋka hakliũa lus-e. (Belhare)
 1sNOM sweat[-NOM] [3sS-]perceptible-PT
 'I am hot.' (मलाई गर्मी भयो)
 - c. ηka caleppa khikt-he. (Belhare)
 1sNOM bread.NOM [3sS-]taste.bitter-PT

 'To me the bread tastes bitter.'

- Primary and double object ditransitives: 'S-Agreement' with {S} vs. 'A-agreement' with {A} vs. 'P-Agreement' with {O,G}
 - (10) a. huĩsa-ŋa hana chatta na-bopt-e. (double object)

 DEMs-ERG 2s[-NOM] umbrella[-NOM] 3>2-cover-PST

 'She covered you with an umbrella.'
 - b. *a-ma-ŋa* hana munjei-ŋa na-bhukt-e. (primary object)
 1sPOSS-mother-ERG 2s[-NOM] shawl-INS 3>2-cover-PST
 'Mother covered you with a shawl.'

3 Detransitivization

- Kiranti Detransitivization: intransitive inflection of verbs that also allow transitive inflection, similar to object incorporation, except that the object does not undergo phonological integration with the verb, that it can freely move and scramble, and that it can be freely expanded into a full NP (except in Limbu and Belhare; see Angdembe 1998, Bickel 2004a, Bickel et al. 2007 for discussion).
- The targeted object is {O,T,G} in Chintang, across all predicate classes (hence, regardless of case):
 - (11) Monotransitives:
 - a. huĩsa-ŋa maʔmi copt-o-k-o.

 DEM-ERG person[-NOM] [3sA-]look-3sO-NPST-3sO

 'He looks at the people.'
 - b. hungo ma?mi cop-no.

 DEM[-NOM] person[-NOM] [3sS-]look-NPST

 'He/she looks at people.' (in general)
 - (12) Ditransitives: default class
 - a. (a-)kam (a-)khim-be paŋs-u-hẽ. (1sPOSS-)friend[-NOM] (1sPOSS-)house-LOC send-3sP-1sA.PST 'I sent (a/my) friend to (a/the/my) house.'
 - b. (*a-)kam (*a-)khim-be paŋs-e-hẽ. (1sPOSS-)friend[-NOM] (1sPOSS-)house-LOC send-PST-1sS.PST 'I sent friends home.' (in general)

- (13) Ditransitives: primary object verbs
 - a. (a-)kam (a-)gol-ŋa or-u-hẽ. (1sPOSS-)friend[-NOM] (1sPOSS-)ball-INS throw-3sP-1sA.PST 'I hit (a/my) friend with (a/the/my) ball.'
 - b. (*a-)kam $(*a-)gol-\eta a$ or- $e-h\tilde{e}$. (1sPOSS-)friend[-NOM] (1sPOSS-)ball-INS throw-PST-1sS.PST 'I hit friends with balls.' (in general)
- (14) Ditransitives: double object verbs
 - a. huīsa-ŋa Joge citthi hakt-o-ko.

 DEMs-ERG J.[-NOM] letter[-NOM] [3sA-]send-3sP-NPST

 'He sends the letter to Joge.'
 - b. *hungo kam citthi hak-no.*DEMs[-NOM] 3sPOSS-friend[-NOM] letter[-NOM] [3sS-]send-NPST
 'He sends letters to friends.' (in general)
 - c. * hungo Joge/u-kam citthi hak-no.

 DEMs=NMLZ[-NOM] J.[-NOM]/3sPOSS-friend[-NOM] letter[-NOM] [3sS-]send-NPST

 Intended: 'He sends letters to Joge/his friend.' (in general)

4 Light verb constructions

- Basic pattern of Kiranti Light Verb Constructions: [(X) V-inf] V_[light] agr, where
 the arrow represents agreement as a result of raising, control or long-distance agreement (distinctions orthogonal to GR definitions):
- Problems arising under transitivity mismatch: which X triggers agreement?
- **Solution 1**: Variable transitivity: {S} vs. {A} vs. {O}
 - (15) a. $hu\tilde{\imath}$ -lam= $ya\eta$ $lo\tilde{\imath}$ -ma a-hi-no. (CLLDCh4R06S03.0941)

 DEM-MED=ADD come.out-INF 2sS-be.able-NPST

 'You can come out there as well.'

'But who can feed the people who roam around here?'

b. u-ko-no=ko-ce saya hiyma hid-u-ku-ce=nay snsS-roam-NPST=NMLZ-ns who-ERG keep.alive-INF [3sA-]be.able-3P-NPST-3nsP=but (RM.JK.talk01.073)

• Solution 2: transitive matrix verb: O agrees with embedded {O,T} or nothing, A agrees with embedded {S,A}. In Chintang, the embedded S must be volitional, if it is not, the matrix is inflected intransitively (solution 1):

```
(16) a. phaĩ-ma latt-o-ko. walk-INF [3sA-]stop-3sP-NPST
```

- b. * phaĩ-ma lat-no. walk-INF [3sS-]stop-NPST 'He stops walking.'
- c. soŋ-ma lat-no. work-INF [3sS-]stop-NPST
- d. * soŋ-ma latt-o-ko. work-INF [3sA-]stop-3sP-NPST '[The machine] stops working.'
- e. *hap-ma lattoko / latno* 'He stops crying.'
- f. teĩ-ma na-latt-e
 beat-INF 3>2-stop-PST
 'He stopped beating you.' (not: 'He stopped x from beating you.')

In Belhare, {S,A} is not necessarily controlled (Bickel 2004a):

- (17) a. *unchik ta-ma n-na-rendh-e*. (3sO default)
 3nsNOM come-INF 3nsA-stop-TEL-PST[-3sO]
 'They stopped coming.'
 - b. *ten-ma ma-ŋ-narend-he*. (A not raised!) beat-INF 1sO-3nsA-stop-PST 'They stopped beating me.' or 'They stopped x from beating me.'
- **Solution 3**: intransitive matrix verb: S agrees with embedded {O,T} in Chintang, with embedded {S,O,T} in Belhare, with nothing in Puma
 - (18) Chintang default class (Bickel et al. in press)
 - a. * im-ma a-kon-no.sleep-INF 2s-should-NPST'You should sleep.'

- b. (hana) im-ma kon-no. (impersonal, no raising!) (2sNOM) sleep-INF [3sS-]should-NPST 'You should sleep.'
- c. (hana) lauri-ŋa teĩ-ma a-kon-no!
 (2sNOM) stick-INS beat-INF 2-should-NPST
 '(One) should beat you with a stick!' (not: 'You should beat someone/him/her/it with a stick!')
- d. hana akka-be na-paŋs-e. 2s[-NOM] 1s-LOC 3>2-send-PST 'He sent you to me.'
- e. paŋ-ma a-kon-no. send-INF 2s-should-NPST 'Someone should send you (somewhere).'
- f. * hana akka paŋ-ma koi-yaʔã. (no raising of G)
 2s[NOM] 1s[-NOM] send-INF should-1sS.NPST
 Intended: 'Someone should send you to me.'
- g. hun-ce-ŋa hana akka-be paŋ-ma kon-no. (no raising of A)
 DEM-ns-ERG 2s[-NOM] 1s-LOC send-INF should-NPST
 'They should send you to me.'
- Chintang primary and double object class: S agrees with {O,T,G}
 - (19) a. huĩsa-ŋa gol-ŋa hana na-or-e.

 DEMS-ERG ball-INS 2sNOM 3>2-throw-PST

 'He hit you with a ball.'
 - b. (gol-ŋa) o-ma a-kon-no. (rasing G) (ball-INS) throw-INF 2-should-NPST 'Someone should hit you (with a ball)'
 - c. *gol-ce o-ma u-kon-no*. (raising T) ball-ns[NOM] throw-INF 3nsS-should-NPST 'Someone should throw balls (to someone).' ('Balls should be thrown')
 - d. gol-ce-ŋa o-ma u-kon-no.
 ball-ns-INS throw-INF 3nsS-should-NPST
 'Someone should hit them with balls.' (not: 'Someone should throw balls (to someone).')
 - e. hun-ce-ŋa gol-ce-ŋa hana o-ma kon-no. (no raising) 3-ns-ERG ball-ns-INS 2s[-NOM] throw-INF [3sS]-should-NPST 'They should throw the balls to you.'

- Belhare (Bickel 2004a)
 - a. khon-ma nui-ka. play-INF may-2s[NPST] 'You may play.'
 - b. lu-ma nui-ka. (raising O) tell-INF may-2s[NPST] 'Someone may tell you.' (not: 'You may tell him/her.')
 - (raising O) c. ηka su-ma nu-yu. 1sNOM beer[-NOM] sour-INF [3SG.S-]may-NPST
 - d. * *ŋka* iηa su-ma nui-?-na. (raising A) 1sNOM beer.NOM sour-INF may-NPST-1sS 'I like [the beer] sour.' (literally, '[the beer] may be sour to me.')
 - (raising O) e. n-ris kat-ma n-nui-?-ni. 2sPOSS-anger.NOM come.up-INF [3sS-]NEG-may-NPST-NEG
 - * n-ris n-nui-?-ni-ga. (raising A) kat-ma 2sPOSS-anger.NOM come.up-INF NEG-may-NPST-NEG-2sS 'You shouldn't get angry' (literally, 'your anger shouldn't come up.')
- (21) Puma (Schackow 2008)
 - a. khanna puŋ-ma dot. 2s[-NOM] go-INF should[3sNPST] 'You should go.'
 - b. лkku a-bo thapsʌŋ-ci bela-bela-do ти-та пеп-та-сі PROX 1pe-GEN custom-ns time-time-GEN.LOC do-INF V2-INF-ns dot. should[3sNPST]
 - 'We have to keep practising our traditions at the right time.'
- Conclusion: Raising limited to {O,G} in Chintang, and to {S,O,G} in Belhare. (Note that both are predicted to be impossible by Dixon 1994.)

Reflexivization

a. *u-ten-na-nci-hẽ*. (22)3nsS-hit-REFL-ns.REFL-PST 'They hit themselves.'

- b. $hu\eta = go$ kitap pi-na-ce.

 DEMs=NMLZ book[-NOM] [3sS-]give-REFL-REFL[-PST]

 'He gave the book to himself.'
- c. Joge Anita khan met-na-ce.

 J.[-NOM] A.[-NOM] see [3sS]-cause-REFL-REFL[-PST]

 'Joge makes himself watch Anita.' (not: 'Joge showed Anita to herself (in the mirror.)')
- d. Joge-ŋa Anita Aina-be khaŋ mett-e. (no binding!)
 J.-ERG A.[-NOM] mirror-LOC see [3sA-]cause-PST[-3sP]

 'Joge showed Anita to herself in the mirror.' (or 'Joge showed someone to Anita in the mirror.')

6 Reciprocalization

- (23) a. Rikhi(-nɨŋ) Janak(-nɨŋ) khaŋ-ka-khaŋ u-lus-a-ce.
 R.(-COM) J.(-COM) see-RECP-see 3nsS-AUX-PST-d 'Rikhi and Janak saw each other.'
 - b. *Rikhi(-nɨŋ) Janak(-nɨŋ) kalam pi-ka-pi u-lus-a-ce.* (A binding G) R.(-COM) J.(-COM) pen[-NOM] give-RECP-give 3nsS-AUX-PST-d 'Rikhi and Janak gave a pen to each other.'
 - c. Lakhman(-nɨŋ) Lokendra(-nɨŋ) Joge(-nɨŋ) mukseikhaŋ mei?-ka-mei?
 L.(-COM) L.(-COM) J.(-COM) know cause-RECP-cause
 u-lus-a-ce. (A binding T)
 3nsS-AUX-PST-d
 'Lakhman and Lokendra introduced each other to Joge.'
 - d. Anita-ŋa Lokendra(-nɨŋ) Lakhman(-nɨŋ) mukseikhaŋ mei?-ka-mei? lu

 A.-ERG L.(-COM) L.(-COM) know cause-RECP-cause AUX

 mett-u-ce. (T binding G, G binding T)

 [3sA-]cause-PST[-3sP]

 'Anita introduced Lakhman and Lokendra to each other.'

7 Passivization

- (24) a. Joge-ŋa Anita Rikhi-be paŋs-e.
 J.-ERG A.[-NOM] R.-LOC [3sA-]send-PST[-3sP]
 'Joge sent Anita to Rikhi.'
 - b. Anita paŋ-mayaŋ=kha.
 A.[-NOM] send-PASS.PTCP=COP
 'Anita was sent somewhere.'

- c. * Rikhi paŋ-mayaŋ=kha
 R[-NOM] send-PASS.PTCP=COP
 Intended: 'Rikhi was sent someone.'
- (25) a. akka Joge chembi pid-u-hẽ.

 1sNOM J.[-NOM] money[-NOM] give-3sP-1sA.PST

 'I gave money to Joge.'
 - b. chembi pi-mayaŋ=kha.
 money[-NOM] give-PASS.PTCP=COP
 'Money was given (to him/her/someone)'.
 - c. Joge pimayaŋ=kha.J.[-NOM] give-PASS.PTCP=COP'Joge was given it/something'.
- (26) a. huĩsa-ŋa gol-ŋa rame or-e.

 DEMs-ERG ball-INS R.[-NOM] [3sA-]throw-PST[-3sP]

 'She hit Rame with a ball.'
 - b. Rame o-mayaŋ=kha.
 R.[-NOM] throw-PASS.PTCP=COP
 'Ram was hit (thrown at) (by something).'
 - c. gol o-mayaŋ=kha.
 ball[-NOM] throw-PASS.PTCP=COP
 'The ball was thrown.'

8 Active participles

Belhare data (Chintang paradigm is incomplete)

- (27) a. asenle ma?i pikg-ar-he.
 recently person.NOM [3SG.S-]fall-downwards-PT
 'Recently a guy fell down.'
 - b. asenle ka-pikg-a-ba ma?i recently ACT.PART-fall-downwards-M person.NOM 'the guy who fell down recently.'
- (28) a. han-na i-cha n-takg-att-u-n-ga i?
 2SG-ERG one-ADD NEG-receive-PT-3[SG]O-NEG-2[SG.A] Q
 'Haven't you received anything?
 - b. *ka-tak-pa*ACT.PART-receive-M
 'one who receives/received/will receive', not 'what was/will be received'

- (29) a. ηka cuŋ-ŋa mai-tar-he.

 1SG.NOM cold/fever-ERG 1SG.O-[3SG.A-]bring-PT
 'I've got fever.'
 - b. $cu\eta$ - ηa ka-tat-pa cold/fever-ERG ACT.PART-bring-M 'the one who has got fever.'
- (30) a. ηka in a lim-yu 1SG.NOM beer.NOM [3SG.S-]be.delicious-NPT 'I like the beer.'
 - b. iŋa ka-lim-babeer ACT.PART-delicious-M'one who likes/liked/will like the beer'
- (31) a. *u-ris* kar-he
 3SG.POSS-anger [3SG.S-]come.up-NPT
 'S/he got angry'
 - b. *ris ka-ta-ba*anger ACT.PART-come.up-M
 'an angry person'

Table 1: Overview of Chintang grammatical relations

	default lexical class	primary obj. verbs	primary obj. verbs double obj. verbs NOM exp deranked exp	NOM exp	deranked exp
case	{S,O,T,A _[SAP] },{A},{G}	$\{S,O,T,A_{[SAP]}\},\{A\},\{G\}$ $\{S,O,G,A_{[SAP]}\},\{A,T\}^a$ $\{S,O,G,T,A_{[SAP]}\},\{A\}$ $\{S,A,O\}$	{S,O,G,T,A _[SAP] },{A}	{S,A,O}	{S,A},{O}
agreement	{S},{A},{O,T}	{S},{A},{O,G}	{o,G}	{A}	{A},{O}
detransitivization		{0,G,T}		n/a	n/a
light verb constr. VAR	{S},{A},{O,T}	{S},{A},{O,G}	{0,G}	٠	ċ.
light verb constr. TR	{S _[VOL] ,A},{O,T}	{S _[VOL] ,A},{O,G}	},{0,G}	٠	ċ
light verb constr. INTR	{O,T}	{O,G,T}	;T}	_	$\{O\}_p$
reciprocalization		{0,G,T}		n/a	n/a
reflexivization			{A}		
act. participles		}	{S,A}		
pass. participles	{O,T}	{0,G,T}	(T;	خ	c.
converbs		}	{S,A}		

^a For facilitating the reading of examples, oblique case is glossed as 'INS' on T but as 'ERG' on A. But it is the same case.

b Assumed on the basis of what is known about Belhare

References

- Angdembe, T. M. 1998. Antipassive via noun incorporation: future of the Limbu object agreement. *Journal of Nepalese Studies* 2, 17 25.
- Bickel, B. 2004a. Hidden syntax in Belhare. In Saxena, A. (ed.) *Himalayan languages: past and present*, 141 190. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Bickel, B. 2004b. The syntax of experiencers in the Himalayas. In Bhaskararao, P. & K. V. Subbarao (eds.) *Non-nominative subjects*, 77 112. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Bickel, B. 2008. On the scope of the referential hierarchy in the typology of grammatical relations. In Corbett, G. G. & M. Noonan (eds.) *Case and grammatical relations: papers in honor of Bernard Comrie.* Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Bickel, B., M. Gaenszle, A. Rai, P. D. Rai, S. K. Rai, V. S. Rai, & N. P. Sharma (Gautam). 2007. Two ways of suspending object agreement in Puma: between incorporation, antipassivization, and optional agreement. $Himalayan\ Linguistics\ 7,\ 1-18.$
- Bickel, B., M. Rai, N. Paudyal, G. Banjade, T. N. Bhatta, M. Gaenszle, E. Lieven, I. P. Rai, N. K. Rai, & S. Stoll. in press. Ditransitives and three-argument verbs in Chintang and Belhare (Southeastern Kiranti). In Malchukov, A., M. Haspelmath, & B. Comrie (eds.) *Studies in ditransitive constructions*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Dixon, R. 1994. Ergativity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schackow, D. 2008. Clause linkage in Puma (Kiranti). MA. thesis, Department of Linguistics, U. Leipzig.