

Towards a Multivariate Typology of Reference Tracking

Balthasar Bickel

U. Leipzig

April 21, 2010

Category tracked (first major generalization)

- Jakobson's (1957 *Shifters...*) system suggests a useful generalization:
 - relative vs. absolute tense: E^nE^n vs. E^nE^s , person: P^nP^s
 - so, **P^nP^n** would be “relative person”, i.e. switch reference
- Given this, reference tracking appears to be a special choice on a more general variable of Category Tracked: {Tense, *Reference*, Location, Status, ...}
- Confirmed by e.g.
Switch Location (Angaatiha, Huisman 1973)
 - a. *nimaa-t-osa-té* *nanó-hô*
hang.up-1s-PERF-**SL**.SS sleep-1sPST
'I hung [it] up and slept.'
 - b. *nimaa-t-osa-mé* *nun-té* *nanó-hô.*
hang.up-1s-**DL**.SS go-1sSEQ.SL.SS sleep-1sPST
'I hung [it] up, went and slept (there).'

Category tracked (first major generalization)

Switch X (Amele: Madang, Roberts 1987)

a. *age ʔeta gul-do-ʔo-bil l-i bahim na taʔ-in.*

3p yam carry-3s-P-**DS**-3p go-PRED floor on fill-3p-REM.PST

‘They carried the yams on the their shoulders and went and filled up the yam store.’

b. *ʔois eu ma-do-ʔo-min l-ig eh-i l-i m-ih-ig-en*

PTCL that say-3sP--**DS**-1s go-1s take-PRED go-PRED put-2sP-1s-FUT

‘OK, I say I will take you and give you to him.’

► **Tracking devices are sometimes better analyzed as discourse markers rather than as dedicated reference trackers...**

Switch

- {identity, difference}
 - Identity trackers seem to be more common than difference trackers. Many languages have only identity and no difference trackers: *part. coni.*, many South and Central Asian converbs etc.
 - Possible motivation: identity trackers develop through codification of zero anaphora, which is extremely popular for economy reasons
- (but we first need to establish whether identity trackers are indeed universally preferred!)

Coding (second major generalization)

- {none, overt}
- 'none': conjunction reduction; or coreference constraints on e.g. infinitives in control constructions when the same infinitives also occur outside such constructions

Locus of Reference Tracker

- {on main, on dependent} clause
 - always on dependent. I am not aware of a reference tracking system on the main clause (registering difference/identity of reference in a dependent clause) — a universal?
- when trackers are on the dependent clause, we get the following universal correlation (hypothesis):
 - prospective tracking with final main clauses (and OV)
 - retrospective tracking with initial main clauses (OV or VO)

Position of dependent and direction of tracking

Kâte (Trans-New-Guina; Pilhofer 1933)

ra fisi-pie *fahare-râ* *yâpe?-yopa-pie* *mafa-yenji?*
go arrive-SEQ.3p **DS** rise-SEQ. **SS** chase.away-3pDO-SEQ.3p **DS** stuff-3pPOSS

behe-râ *wise-pie* *fiu?* *ro=fâre-mbiŋ.*
throw.away-SEQ. **SS** flee-SEQ.3p **DS** illicitly take=all-3pREMOTE.PST

‘When they_i (the foreigners) arrived, they_j (the villagers) got up and chased them away. They_i threw away their stuff and fled. Then, they_j stole their stuff.’

Igbo (Benue-Congo; Welmers 1973)

a. *ọ là-rà* *ụlọ* *rí-é* *ń'rí*
3s go-FACT home eat-SEQ food
‘He went home and ate.’

b. *ọ là-rà* *ụlọ* *òkóyè* **è-rí-é** *ń'rí*
3s go-FACT home O. **DS**-eat-SEQ food
‘He_i went home and the Okoye_j ate.’

Locus of Marking of Reference Tracker (third major generaliz.)

- {on head, on dependent, none, ...}
 - on head: ‘switch-reference’, ‘infinitive’, ‘purposive’, ‘implicated clauses’
 - on dependent: ‘long-distance reflexives’, ‘logophorics’, ‘anaphoric pronouns’ etc.

Yup’ik Eskimo (Reed et al. 1977)

- a. *angun aterte-ller-mini alinge-llru-uq.*
man.sABS drift.with.the.current-WHEN-3s **{S}={S, A}** be.afraid-PT-3s
‘When the man drifted with the current, he was afraid.’
- b. *angute-m tange-llr-ani tuntuvak aya-llr-uuq.*
man-ERG see-WHEN-3sA>3sO. **{O}={S, A}** moose.NOM go.away-PST-INTR-3s
‘When the man saw him_i, the moose_i went away.’
- c. *tang-ller-miniu tuntuvak angun aya-llr-uuq.*
see-WHEN-3sA>3sO. **{A}={S, A}** moose.NOM man.NOM go.away-PST-INTR.3s
‘When he_i saw the moose, the man_i went away.’

Gokana (Cross-River, Benue-Congo; Hyman & Comrie 1981)

Lébàrèè kɔ̀ aè div-èè e.

L. say 3s hit-**LOG** 3SG.P

‘Lebare_i said that he_i hit him_j.’ or ‘Lebare_i said that he_j hit him_i.’

Selector Scope

- {all, controller only, none, split, ...}
- All: one selector type (e.g. {S, A, p-P} for all)
- Controller only: typical for reflexive pronouns and logophorics
- None: some unselected coreference (attested only for dep-marked trackers): “Relativischer Anschluss”?

Latin

at ego basilicus sum. quem nisi oras, guttas
but 1sNOM royal be.1sPRES **RELSM.ACC** if.not ask.2sPRES drop.pACC
non feres. [Plaut. *Rud.* 431]
NEG bear.2sFUT

‘But I am royal: if you don’t ask me, you won’t get any drop.’

- Split: controller vs. controllee

Warlpiri (Pama-Nyungan; Simpson 1991)

- a. *ngarrka=ka wangka-mi karli jarnti-rninja-karra.*
 man.NOM=PRS speak-NPST boomerang.NOM trim-INF-SIM. **{S,A}={S,A}**
 ‘The man talked when trimming the boomerang.’
- b. *ngajulu-rlu-rna yankirri pantu-rnu, ngapa nga-rninja-kurra.*
 1s-ERG=1sA emu.NOM spear-PST water.NOM drink-INF- **{S,A}={O,G}**
 ‘I speared the emu while it (not I) was drinking water.’

Ancient Greek

- a. *pollakhoû dé me epéskhe légo-nt-a*
 often PTCL **1sACC** stop.3sIMPERFECT talk-IPFV.ACT.PTCP-**ACC.s**
metaxú.
 in.the.middle
 ‘[The oracle] has often stopped me when I was in the middle of talking.’ (Plat. *Apol.* 40b)
- b. *egò eréō hōs eû epistá-men-os.*
1sNOM speak.1sFUT PTCL well understand-IPFV.MED.PTCP-**NOM.s**
 ‘I will speak out because I understand it well.’ (Herod. *Hist.* IX 42)

Selector

- {none, <some argument set> }
- ‘none’ typically for logophoric/reflexive pronouns or the antecedent of *part. coni.*, which can occur in any function
- claims that ‘switch-reference’ is never ‘ergative’ are difficult to evaluate... If ‘switch-reference’ means ‘overt reference tracker’, what does occur is:
 - {S,P,d-A}-{A} (Dyirbal *-ŋura*)
 - {S,P,d-P}-{S,P,d-P} (Dyirbal *-li*)
 - {P}-{S,A,d-P} (Yup’ik *-ani* etc.)
 - {A}-{S,A,d-P} (Yup’ik *-miniu* etc.)

Argument treatment

- {none, shared, gapped, deleted, required, ...}
 - shared: can't ever be overt (e.g. w/ infinitives)
 - gapped: can't be overt under coreference (e.g. control)
 - deleted: can't be covert under non-coreference (e.g. conjunction reduction)
- Typically, head-marked reference trackers don't constrain argument treatment, but identity trackers tend to block agreement (but not always: Kobon, Maricopa)

The beginnings of a survey

Some overtly coded reference trackers:

	Switch	Marking of RT	Selector Scope	Selected	Arg treatment
Kâte -râ etc.	=	H	all	{S,A}	none
Kâte -me etc.	≠	H	all	{S,A}	none
Igbo è-	≠	H	all	{S,A} (?)	none
Greek <i>part. coni.</i>	=	H	split	{S,A,p-P}-{X _{[αcase]}} }	shared
Warlpiri -kara	=	H	split	{S,A,p-P}-{S,A,p-P}	shared
Warlpiri -kura	=	H	split	{S,A,p-P}-{P}	shared
Warlpiri -rlarni	=	H	split	{S,A,p-P}-{G}	shared
Dyirbal -ŋura	=	H	split	{S,P,p-A}-{A}	none
Gokana -ee	=	H	controller only	{A _{info} ,P _{info} }	none
Attic Greek <i>sp^h-</i>	=	D	controller only	{S _{info, subj} ,A _{info,subj} }	NA
Babungo Log	=	D	controller only	{A _{info} }	NA
Yupik -ani etc.	=	H	split	{A}-{S,A}	none
Yupik -miniu etc.	=	H	split	{P}-{S,A}	none
Latin Rel. Anschl.	=	D	none	NA	NA

A couple of hypotheses on distributions across languages

The distribution of reference tracking looks like an excellent areal marker, but we have no good databases (yet):

- Reference (identity and difference) tracking w/ adjoining clauses but not subcategorized clauses: Inner New Guinea, South Asia
- Reference (identity) tracking w/ subcategorized but not adjoining clauses: Macro Sudan Belt (in Güldemann's sense 2008)

And some observations about distributions within languages

- Reference trackers can be ‘isolated’, i.e. there is no *ceteris paribus* clause linkage construction:
 - Swahili *na ku-* coreference tracker (“and INF”)
 - Pali *-tvā* coreference tracker (“absolute”)
 - Yankunytjatjara *-la* different subject marker (Goddard 1983:171, 1988:188f)
- But some are
 - in equipollent opposition
 - in privative oppositionwithin a *ceteris paribus* clause linkage construction

Privative systems and one historical origin of ‘switch reference’

Ceteris paribus, one marker tracks reference, the other is neutral, as in classical IE *part. coni.* vs. absolute constructions:

Ancient Greek *gen. abs.*

- a. [\emptyset ek dè toútou thâttōn proiō-nt-ōn sùn kraug-ê]
out PTCL DEM.GENsM faster proceed-IP-**GEN**pM with shout-DATs
apò toû automátou drómos e-géne-to
from ART.GENsM spontaneity.GENs run.NOMs PST-become-3sIMPERF.MED
tois stratót-ais.

ART.DATpM soldier-DATp

‘But as afterwards (the leaders) proceeded faster and with a loud shout, the soldiers took to a running pace by themselves.’ (Xen. *Anab.* I, 2, 17)

- b. [asthenésa-nt-os aut-oû] oudé pote ap-é-leip-e tòn
feeble-IP-**GEN**sM 3-**GEN**sM never away-PST-leave-3sIPFV ART.ACCsM
pápp-on.

grandfather-ACCs

‘When he_i was sick, he would never leave his grandfather_i.’ (Xen. *Cyr.* I, 4, 2)

A parallel in Australia

Yukulta *part. coni. vs. dat. abs.* (Tangkic; Keen 1983, Evans 1995)

- a. *danka-ya=karri ngida karna-ja [makurrarra-wurla-ya karna-jurlu-ya].*
man-**ERG**=3>3PRES wood light-ACT wallaby-PROPR-ERG light-PURP-**ERG**
'The man lit the fire in order to cook the wallaby.'
- b. *baa-ja=kandi dathin-ki dirr-i [∅ bala-tharri-nja=ma].*
bite-IND=3>3POT DEM-ERG snake-ERG hit-NEG.IND-**DAT**=if
'That snake will bite if (someone) doesn't kill (it).'
- c. *mutha=kurrarringka kurri-kurri-ja [∅ wirrka-jarrba-ntha wangarr-inaba-ntha].*
lot=AUX:3p>1nsPT watch-RED-IND dance-PRIOR-DAT corroborree-ABL-**DAT**
'A big mob watched us dancing the corroborree.'

A common pathway of development

Absolute constructions > difference trackers (and thereby an equipollent system) through pragmatic competition with *part. coni.* constructions (identity trackers):

- Warlpiri dative
- Yuman comitative ('associative')
- Muskogean accusative
- Uto-Aztecan accusative (*-kV) (reconstructed as identical with the proto-DS marker by Jacobsen 1983...)

References

- Bickel, Balthasar, 1991. *Typologische Grundlagen der Satzverkettung*. Zürich: ASAS.
- Bickel, Balthasar, 1999. From *ergativus absolutus* to topic marking in Kiranti: a typological perspective. *Proceedings of the 25th Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society* 38 - 49.
- Bickel, Balthasar & Johanna Nichols, 2001. Inflectional morphology. Expanded version of chapter published in Shopen, T. (2007) *Language typology and syntactic description, vol. 3*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 169 - 240 [<http://www.uni-leipzig.de/~autotyp/download/infl.pdf>].
- Evans, Nicholas, 1995. *A grammar of Kayardild*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Givón, T., 1990. *Syntax: a functional-typological introduction, Vol. II*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Haiman, John, 1983. On some origins of switch reference marking. In Haiman, John & Pamela Munro (eds.) *Switch-reference and universal grammar*, 105 - 128. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Haspelmath, Martin, 1995. The converb as a cross-linguistically valid category. In Haspelmath, Martin & Ekkehard König (eds.) *Converbs in cross-linguistic perspective*, 1 - 55. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Huisman, Ronald D., 1973. Angaat̪ha verb morphology. *Linguistics* 110, 43 - 54.
- Hyman, Larry M. & Bernard Comrie, 1981. Logophoric reference in Gokana. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics* 3, 19 - 37.
- Jakobson, Roman, 1957. Shifters, verbal categories, and the Russian verb. In *Selected writings, vol. 2: word and language*, 130 - 147. The Hague: Mouton de Gruyter 1970.
- Keen, Sandra, 1983. Yukulta. In Dixon, R.M.W. & Barry J. Blake (eds.) *Handbook of Australian languages* 3, 191 - 304. Amsterdam.
- Pilhofer, G., 1933. *Grammatik der Kâte-Sprache in Neuguinea*. Berlin: Reimer.

Reed, Irene, Osakito Miyako, Steven Jacobsen, Paschal Afcan, & Michael Krauss, 1977. *Yup'ik Eskimo grammar*. Fairbanks: Alaska Native Language Center, University of Alaska.

Roberts, John R., 1987. *Amele*. London: Croom Helm.

Simpson, Jane, 1991. *Warlpiri morpho-syntax: a lexicalist approach*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Welmers, William E, 1973. *African language structures*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Conclusions

- Diversity much larger than what terms like 'switch-reference' suggest
- Identity trackers seem to be extremely common worldwide,
- but their specific characteristics and combinations with difference trackers look like interesting areal markers